

# OFFICIAL GAZETTE



## GOVERNMENT OF GOA

*Note: There is one Supplement and Extraordinary to the Official Gazette Sr.II No. 31 dated 29-10-98 as follows:—*

- i) Supplement dated 29-10-98 from pages 431 to 442 regarding orders from Department of Labour.
- ii) Extraordinary dated 29-10-98 from pages 443 to 444 regarding Notification from Department of Home (Home General Division).

### GOVERNMENT OF GOA

#### Department of Finance

#### Revenue & Expenditure Division

#### Directorate of Accounts

#### Order

No. DA/Admn/45-3/98-99/TR-1376/83

Read: Order No. DA/Admn/45-3-98-99/TR-1143/67 dated 21-8-1998.

Shri Sharad Borkar promoted and posted as Asstt. Accounts Officer, initially in the leave vacancy vide order referred to above, is now posted in the regular vacancy in the Directorate of Accounts, Panaji resulted on transfer of Smt. Vrinda Kambli, Asstt. Accounts Officer to Directorate of Agriculture, Panaji.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*N. M. Nadkarni*, Director of Accounts & Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 24th September, 1998.

#### Order

No. DA/Admn/45-6/98-99/TR-1427/89

Consequent upon the transfer of one post of Asstt. Accounts Officer from the Directorate of Social Welfare, Panaji to the Directorate of Women and Child Development, Panaji, vide Government order No. 9/8/94-PER dated 25-8-98, the Government is pleased to order the transfer and posting of Shri Shashikant D. S. Gaitonde, Asstt. Accounts Officer of Common Accounts Cadre presently working in the Directorate of Social Welfare, Panaji, to the Directorate of Women and Child Development, Panaji with immediate effect.

On joining his new assignment, Shri Shashikant D. S. Gaitonde shall send C.T.C./Joining Report to this Directorate immediately for record.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*N. M. Nadkarni*, Director of Accounts & Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 5th October, 1998.

#### Order

No. DA/Admn/46-2/98-99/TR-1500

- Read:
- Order No. 6/4/92/Fin (Exp) dated 11-11-1993.
  2. Order No. 6/5/91/Fin (Exp) Part dated 28-11-1994.
  3. Order No. 6/5/91/Fin (Exp) dated 11-12-1995.
  4. Order No. 6/5/91/Fin (Bud) dated 28-11-1996.

The term of deputation of Shri Menino Dias, Assistant Accounts Officer of the Common Accounts Cadre presently functioning as Assistant Accounts Officer in the Khadi and Village Industries Board, Panaji is hereby extended for a further period of one year with effect from 19-11-1997 to 18-11-1998 on the same terms and conditions.

On completion of his extended deputation term, Shri Menino Dias, Asstt. Accounts Officer stands repatriated to his parent cadre.

By order and in the name of Governor of Goa.

*N. M. Nadkarni*, Director of Accounts & Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 12th October, 1998.

#### Order

No. DA/Admn/45-8/98-99/TR-1522/95

Read: Order No. DA/Admn/45-8/97-98/TR-1125/55 dated 2-9-1997.

The term of deputation of Shri K. G. Naik, Accounts Officer of the Common Accounts Cadre presently functioning as Manager (Accounts-cum-Administration) in Goa State Horticultural Corporation Ltd., Panaji is hereby extended for a further period

of one year with effect from 5-9-1998 to 4-9-1999 on the same terms and conditions.

On completion of his extended deputation term, Shri K. G. Naik, Accounts Officer, stands repatriated to his parent cadre.

By order and in the name of Governor of Goa.

N. M. Nadkarni, Director of Accounts & Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 13th October, 1998.

#### Order

No. DA/Admn/45-7/98-99/TR-1521/94

- Read: 1. Order No. 6/1/95/Fin (Exp) dated 8-10-1996.  
2. Order No. DA/Admn/45-7/97-98/TR1451/68 dated 17-10-1997.

The term of deputation of Shri Rohidas P. Naik, Assistant Accounts Officer of the Common Accounts Cadre presently functioning as Assistant Accounts Officer on deputation to Institute of Public Assistance (Provedoria da Assistancia Publica) Panaji is hereby extended for a further period of one year with effect from 10-10-1998 to 9-10-1999 on the same terms and conditions.

On completion of his extended deputation term, Shri Rohidas P. Naik, Asstt. Accounts Officer stands repatriated to his parent cadre.

By order and in the name of Governor of Goa.

N. M. Nadkarni, Director of Accounts & Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 13th October, 1998.

### Department of Labour

#### Order

No. 28/58/91-LAB

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

V. G. Manerkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 12th January, 1993.

### IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(Before Shri M. A. Dhavale, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/19/92

Shri Ashok Kumar. — Workman/Party I

V/s

M/s U.P. State Bridge Corporation Ltd. — Employer/Party II

Workman represented by Shri Subhas Naik.

Employer represented by Adv. A. W. Dharwadker.

Panaji: dated: 15-12-1992.

#### AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-Section (1) of Sec. 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Government of Goa, by its order No. 28/58/91-LAB dated 14-2-1992 has referred the following issue for adjudication by this Tribunal:

“Whether the action of the management of M/s U. P. State Bridge Corporation Ltd., Colvale, Bardez-Goa, in terminating the services of Shri Ashok Kumar, Work Supervisor, with effect from 1-2-1991 is legal and justified.

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?”

2. On receipt of this reference a case No. IT/19/92 was registered and notices were served upon both the parties. In response to the show cause notice, Party I-Shri Ashok Kumar (hereinafter called as the ‘Workman’) appeared through his Advocate Subhas Naik and subsisted his statement of claim (Exb. 4) wherein it has been averred thus:

Party I-Shri Ashok Kumar is a member of Goa Trade & Commercial Workers' Union and hence the statement of claim has been filed by the Secretary of the said union. Party I-Workman was initially appointed as a Diploma Trainee by the Director of the U.P. State Bridge Corporation Ltd., Uttar Pradesh (hereinafter called as ‘Corporation’) w.e.f., 16th April, 1986 and was posted at the Bridge work undertaken by the said Corporation at Vijaywada. Thereafter, the Corporation transferred the workman at various works undertaken by it and posted him in Goa at New Mandovi Bridge from 21st March, 1987 to 31st March, 1988. Thereafter the workman was transferred from New Mandovi Bridge Works to Colvale steel Bridge and designated as “mate” from 1st April, 1988 to 31st July, 1988. Thereafter the workman was again transferred and posted as Supervisor at Margao Football Stadium (Fatorda) from 1st August, to 23rd January, 1989. Thereafter he was again transferred to New Mandovi Bridge from 24th Jan., 1989 to 6th December, 1989 and finally he was again transferred to Colvale Steel Bridge works at Colvale. It is the say of the workman that he rendered his services diligently and honestly. However, on 1st Jan., 1991, he applied for 15 days leave as he wanted to go to his native place in Uttar Pradesh. The leave was sanctioned by the management. However, after going to his native place, he fell sick and as such he sent a telegram and requested extension of leave by another 15 days. On expiry of the said period the workman returned to Goa and went to report for duty. However, he was informed that his services were not required by the Corporation and that they stood terminated w.e.f., 1st Jan., 1991. Aggrieved by this decision, the Union raised a dispute before the Corporation as also before the Labour Commissioner. In the conciliation proceedings Party II-Corporation played delaying tactics with the result that there was no settlement and hence the Government was pleased to refer this dispute

to this Tribunal, Party I-workman therefore prays that he should be reinstated in service by paying him full back wages and with continuity of service.

3. Party II-Corporation engaged Adv. A.W. Dharwadker who has filed his vakalatnama at Exb. 3 on 23.4.92 and he prayed for time to file the Written Statement which was granted. On the adjourned dated i.e. 22-5-92 Adv. Dharwadker was present. However, thereafter on 25-6-92 there was no appearance on behalf of Party II. Shri R. Mangueskar then filed his statement of claim on 16-9-92 but on the adjourned dates prior to the filing of the claim statement. There was no appearance on behalf of Party II. After filing of the claim statement, the case was posted on 13-10-92 on which date, there was again no appearance on behalf of the Corporation and hence the case was posted for ex parte hearing on 17-11-92. Thereafter the case was adjourned on two dates and finally to 12-12-92, on which date, there was no appearance and hence the case was again posted for ex-parte hearing on this day i.e. 15th December, 1992. On this day also there was no appearance and hence Party I-Workman examined himself and produced some documents. On considering the same, I now proceed to decide the dispute between the parties.

4. In his evidence at Exb.5, Workman-A. Kumar has stated that he was holding a diploma in Civil Engineering and as such he was initially appointed as 'Diploma Trainee' and from 23-1-87 he was engaged as a Supervisor. His initial appointment was in Vijayawada but around about 1989 he was transferred to Goa at the construction work carried out by the Corporation. He was also given a letter of appointment and his initial pay was Rs. 600/- p. m. In due course it was increased to Rs. 660/- p.m. He was entrusted with the duty of supervising the work of labour. He has stated that as he wanted to go to his native place in V. P., he applied for 15 days leave w.e.f. 1-1-1991 which was sanctioned and hence he left Goa. However, at his native place, he felt sick and could not resume to his duty after the expiry of sanctioned leave and hence he sent a telegram and requested for extension of 15 days leave, thereafter he returned to Goa on 31st Jan., 1991 and on 1st Feb., 1991 when he went to resume his duty, the Dy. Manager told him that there was no work for him and asked him to go out, thus he was not allowed to resume. It has been further stated that he was not given any notice or letter of termination nor was he paid his legal dues. Hence, through the Union, he raised a dispute before the Corporation as also before the Asst. Labour Commissioner claiming reinstatement and other reliefs. However, there was no settlement and hence the matter was referred to the Government which in its turn referred this dispute to this Tribunal.

5. Now, whatever has been stated by the workman has gone unchallenged, since there was no appearance on behalf of Party II-Corporation. Hence, there is no reason why the unchallenged testimony of the workman should not be accepted in proof of his claim. Besides his oral assertions, he has also produced a copy of a representation made by the Union to the Asst. Labour Commissioner which can be found at Exb. 6. The said representation reveals that since the Corporation did not amicably settle the dispute the Union had to take the matter for conciliation. However, there was no settlement.

6. Thus, considering this evidence there can be absolutely no doubt to hold that although leave was sanctioned to Party I-Workman still he was not allowed to resume his duty w.e.f. 1-2-91. He was not given any notice nor any enquiry was held against him for any misconduct. No order of termination was also given to him and no retrenchment compensation and other legal dues were paid to him on the date on which his services were terminated. On this established state of affairs, it is evident that the action of Party II in terminating the services of Party I- Shri Ashok Kumar is clearly illegal and unjustified and deserves to be set aside. Once it is set aside, it follows that party II is liable to reinstate Party I-Workman with full back wages and continuity of service. I, therefore, decree the workman's claim and pass the following order:

### ORDER

It is hereby declared that the action of Party II-M/s U. P. State Bridge Corporation Ltd., Construction Unit, Colvale, Goa, in terminating the services of Party I-Shri Ashok Kumar, Work Supervisor with effect from 1-2-1991 is not legal and justified and hence the same is set aside and Party II-Corporation is hereby directed to reinstate Party I-Workman in service by paying him full back wages and with continuity of service.

No order as to costs.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-  
( M. A. DHAVAL )  
Presiding Officer  
Industrial Tribunal

### Order

No. 28/27/94-LAB

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

J. M. de Almeida, Jt. Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 15th December, 1995.

### IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Ajit J. Agni, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

No. IT/80/ 94

Shri Shaikh Jamal S. Mohammed,  
Rep. by A. C. G. L. Worker's Union,  
Honda, Satari-Goa.

—Workmen/Party I

V/s

M/s Automobile Corporation  
of Goa Ltd.,  
Honda, Satari-Goa.

—Employer/Party II

Party I represented by Shri Subhas Naik.

Party II represented by Adv. M. S. Bhandodkar.

Panaji, dated: 15-11- 95.

### AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Government of Goa by order dated 3-8-94 bearing No. 28/27/94-LAB referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

"Whether the action of the management of M/s Automobile Corporation of Goa Ltd., Honda, Satari, Goa, in terminating the services of Shri Shaikh Jamal S. Mohammed, Workman, is legal and justified ?

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled ?"

2. On receipt of the reference a case was registered under No. IT/80/94 and registered A/D notice was issued to the parties. In pursuance of the said notice, the parties put in their appearance. The Party I (for short, 'Union') filed its statement of claim which is at Exb. 3. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the Union are that the Party II (for short, 'Employer') is engaged in the business of the production of spare parts for various motor vehicles, and is having its factory situated at Honda, Satari, Goa. The workers of the employer are the members of the Trade Union, and the said union is registered under the provisions of the Trade Unions Act. Shri Shaikh Jamal S. Mohammed, (for short, 'workman') was employed with the employer and also was a member of the Union. On 30-5-90 the Union submitted charter of demands to the employer and a settlement was signed on 1st September, 1990. However, though the issue of productivity to be achieved by the workmen was not specifically decided, the employer unilaterally displayed a chart on the notice board mentioning therein the productivity to be achieved by each workman. Due to this, there was industrial unrest and the employer issued false charge sheets to 7 workmen including the workman Shri Shaikh Jamal S. Mohammed who the employer thought were taking active interest in Trade Union activities. Subsequently in January, 1991 the employer entered into a settlement with the Union as regards the achievement of the productivity by each workman and the cordial relations were restored between the Union and the employer. However, inspite of the signing of the settlement and restoration of the cordial relations the employer did not withdraw the charge sheets issued to 7 workmen and the enquiry against them was proceeded with. The said 7 workmen were suspended and were kept under suspension for over two years. After the completion of the enquiry, the Inquiry Officer submitted his finding on 14-1-93 holding the workman not guilty of the charges levelled against him. On receipt of the findings the employer issued a show cause notice dated 30th January, 1993 stating that the employer had not accepted the findings of the Inquiry Officer. The workman replied to the said show cause notice by reply dated 2-2-93. However, the employer by order dated 24-2-93 dismissed the workman from service with immediate effect. The Union contended that the charge sheet issued to the workman was vague, malafide, vindictive and false. The Union also contended that the findings of the Inquiry Officer were just and proper. The Union therefore contended that the order of termination of service of the workman was illegal and unjustified and hence he was entitled to reinstatement with full back wages.

3. The Employer filed the written statement which is at Exb. 4. The employer admitted that the workman was employed with the employer as an Operator. The employer denied that the issue as regards the productivity was settled in January, 1991 and stated that the said issue was settled in September, 1990 itself. The employer justified its action in not withdrawing the charge sheets issued to 7 workmen including the workman Mr. Shaikh Jamal S. Mohammed as according to the employer they were the main persons who instigated and supported actively the workmen in resorting to go slow in work. The employer denied that the charge sheet was issued to the workman with malafide intention or vindictively or that the allegations made against the workman in the charge sheet were false and flimsy. The employer denied that the charge sheet was vague. The employer stated that the Inquiry Officer erred in holding that the charges levelled against the workman were not proved. The employer stated that the charges against the workman were proved and hence the termination of his services was legal and justified and therefore the workman was not entitled to any relief as claimed.

4. Thereafter the Union filed rejoinder which is at Exb. 6 on the pleadings of the parties issues were framed at Exb. 7. After the issues were framed the case was fixed for evidence of the Union. However, on 29-9-95, Shri V. D. Parulekar, the General Secretary of the Union, the workman and Adv. M. S. Bandodkar for the employer appeared and submitted that the dispute between the parties was amicably settled and filed the terms of settlement at Exb. 10, duly signed by the parties, praying that the consent Award be passed in terms of the settlement. I have gone through the terms of the settlement Exb. 10 and I am satisfied

that they are certainly in the interest of the workman. I, therefore, accept the submissions made by the parties and pass the consent award in terms of the settlement Exb. 10.

### ORDER

1. It is agreed by the Employer/Party II that Rs. 86,442/- (Rupees Eighty Six Thousand and Four Hundred Forty Two only) shall be paid to Shri Shaikh Jamal S. Mohammed in full and final settlement of his claims arising out of the employment of whatsoever nature including claims arising out of above reference.
2. Mr. Shaikh Jamal S. Mohammed, shall accept the amount mentioned in clause (1) above in full and final settlement of his claim arising out of his employment and the above reference and further confirms that he shall have no claim of whatsoever nature against the Company, including any claim of reinstatement or re-employment.
3. It is agreed by the workman that the amount mentioned in clause (1) shall also include Notice Pay, Gratuity, Provident Fund, Bonus, Ex-Gratia etc., if any up to date.

There shall be no order as to costs. Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-  
(AJIT J. AGNI)  
Presiding Officer  
Industrial Tribunal

### Order

No. 28/4/89-ILD

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa Daman and Diu is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. N. Bhat, Under Secretary (Industries and Labour.)

Panaji, 24th October, 1994.

### IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Ajit J. Agni, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/21/89

Shri Govind Rane.  
Rep. by Goa Textile Workers Association. — Workman/Party I  
V/s

M/s Atlantic Spinning & Weaving  
Mills Ltd.,  
Xeldem Goa. — Employer/Party II

Party I represented by Adv. Guru Shirodkar.

Party II represented by Adv. M. S. Bandodkar.

Panaji, dated: 7-10-1994.

## AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub. Sec. (1) of Sec. 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1987) the Government of Goa by order dated 27th March, 1989 bearing No. 28/4/89-ILD referred the following issue for adjudication by this Tribunal:

"Whether the action of the management of M/s Atlantic Spinning and Weaving Mills Limited, Xeldem, in terminating the services of their workman Shri Govind Rane with effect from 16-9-1988 is legal and justified ?

If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?"

2. On receipt of the reference, a case was registered under No. IT/21/89 and notices were issued to the parties. In pursuance to the said notices, the parties put in their appearance. The Party I (For short, 'workman') filed his statement of claim at Exb. 2 and the Party II (For short, 'Employer') filed its written statement at Exb.3. The brief facts of the case as stated by the workman in his statement of claim are that he was working as a Sider with the Employer with effect from February, 1988 but no letter of appointment was issued to him. On 23-5-88 the workman was served with the letter of suspension pending the inquiry on the ground that he had threatened the Manager of dire consequences and acted in defiance of the lawful and reasonable orders from the superiors. A charge sheet dated 24th May, 1988 was issued to the workman and by reply dated 1-6-1988 the workman denied all the allegations made against him in the charge sheet. Thereafter, a domestic enquiry was held into the charges levelled against the workman. After the enquiry was completed the Enquiry Officer submitted his report and held that the workman was guilty of the charges levelled against him. Thereafter, the Employer dismissed the workman from service w. e.f. 16-9-88. Among the various contentions that have been raised by the workman in his statement of claim, some of them are that no fair, proper and impartial enquiry was held against him. The workman also contended that the findings of the Enquiry Officer were perverse and they were not just and legal. The workman therefore prayed that the order of dismissal passed by the Employer be quashed and set aside and the workman be reinstated with full back wages. In the written statement filed by the Employer, it was denied that no fair, proper and impartial enquiry was held into the charges levelled against the workman. The Employer contended that since serious allegations were received against the workman, he was suspended pending the enquiry. In the enquiry, the workman was represented by Shri Gaunkar, the General Secretary of the Union. The Employer further contended that the findings of the Enquiry Officer were just and legal and therefore the Employer accepted the said findings of the enquiry officer. The Employer contended that the dismissal order issued by it was just and legal and the claims of the workman was liable to be dismissed. On the pleadings of the parties, issues were framed at Exb. 4.

3. The above case was fixed for hearing on 6-10-84. On the said date, Adv. G. Shirodkar appeared on behalf of the workman and Adv. M. S. Bandodkar appeared on behalf of the Employer. Both the parties submitted that the dispute between the workman and the Employer was settled and they filed the terms of settlement at Exb. 5. The said terms of settlement was signed by Adv. G. Shirodkar on behalf of the workman and by Shri Ram Murti Singh, the Personnel Manager of the Employer. The said terms of settlement were also signed by Shri Gaunkar, the Gen. Secretary of Goa Textile Workers Association who represented the workman in this reference. The parties prayed that consent award be passed in terms of the settlement. I have gone through the terms of the settlement and I find that they are in the interest of the workman. I, therefore accept the submissions made by the parties and pass the consent award in terms of the settlement dated 6th October, 1994 Exb.5.

## ORDER

1. The Party II-Employer shall pay to Mr. Govind Rane, a sum of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) in full and final settlement of his claim arising out of his employment.
2. Mr. Govind Rane, workman shall accept the amount mentioned in clause (1) above in full and final settlement of his all claim arising out of his employment and further confirms that he shall have no further claim of reinstatement or re-employment against the Company.
3. Mr. Govind Rane-Workman further confirms that his entire dispute with the Company covered under the reference or otherwise is conclusively settled.
4. No order as to costs.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-  
(AJIT J. AGNI)  
Presiding Officer  
Industrial Tribunal

## Department of Revenue

## Order

No. 18/1/93-RD

Read: Order No. 6/4/98-PER dated 14-8-1998 issued by the Personnel Department.

On placement of the services of Shri Melvyn Vaz by the Department of Personnel, and in exercise of the powers conferred by Article 118 of the Legislative Diploma No. 2070 dated 15-4-1961, the Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Shri Melvyn Vaz, Junior Grade Officer of Goa Civil Service, as the Administrator of Comunidades of South Zone, Margao, with effect from the date he assumed charge.

The appointment shall be on deputation, which shall be initially for a period of one year and shall be governed by the standard terms of deputation as contained in the Personnel Department's O.M. No. 13/4/74-PER dated 10-10-1990 as amended from time to time.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Smt. A. Menezes, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 12th October, 1998.

## Notification

No. 22/59/98-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. construction of road from Motiwado to Astona in V. P. Majorda (addl. area) - II, Salcete.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector/SDO, Mormugao to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao.
2. The Dy. Collector/SDO, Mormugao.
3. The Executive Engineer, Division VI (R-S), PWD, Fatorda.
4. The Director of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Dy. Collector/SDO, Mormugao for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

#### SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Salcete

Village: Majorda

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
25/6 part	Alexander Custodio Jacques.	450
24/3 "	Eslinda Braganza.	800
24/9 "	Rayamon S. Braganza.	20

Taluka: Salcete

Village: Utorda

1/14 part	Joao Antonio Mores.	550
Total .....		1820

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Smt. A. Menezes, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 29th September, 1998.

#### Notification

No. 22/73/98-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be

needed for public purpose viz. const. of road from Morailem to Dabanol in Curchorem Constituency.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector/SDO, Quepem to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao.
2. The Dy. Collector/SDO, Quepem.
3. The Executive Engineer, Division XXV, PWD, Fatorda.
4. The Director of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Dy. Collector/SDO, Quepem for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

#### SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Quepem

Village: Curchorem

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3

82 part	Southern Central Railway.	1550
83 part	1. Margarida Costa Goveia Pinto.	1465
	2. Ruida Goveia Pinto.	
	3. Maria Suzana Costa Cunha.	
	4. Alfredo da Cunha.	
	5. Maria Telmo da Costa Couto.	
	6. Gustav Filip Couto.	
	7. Antonio Francisco da Costa Pinto.	
	8. Jose Savio da Albuquerque Pinto.	
	9. Bernandina da Piedade Albuquerque.	
	10. Jose Francisco da Piedade Albuquerque Pinto.	

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Smt. A. Menezes, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 6th October, 1998.

## Notification

No. 22/134/97-RD

Whereas by Government Notification No. 22/134/97-RD dated 17-12-97 published on page 137 of Series II, No. 12 of the Official Gazette, dated 18-6-98 and in two newspapers (1) Herald dated 5-2-98 (2) Rashtramat dated 5-2-98 it was notified under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act I of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that the land, specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz. for development of playground at Tarimol, Sanguem.

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of section 5A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the Schedule hereto is needed for the public purpose specified above (hereinafter referred to "the said land").

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares, under section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government also appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Deputy Collector (LA), Collectorate of South, Margao to perform the functions of a Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land, and directs him under section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the Office of the said Deputy Collector (LA), Collectorate of South Goa, Margao till the award is made under section 11.

## SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Sanguem		Village: Tarimol
Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
42/2 part	O: Shaik Allisab. Shaik Abdul Shookar. Bernando Santana Fernandes. Carmelina D'Costa. Rosalina Pereira D'Costa. Luis Carvalho. Juse Paul Carvalho. Sacramento Sequira. William Fernandes. Gajanan Laxman Naik. Perpatua Mascrenhas. Domingos Gomes. Amelia Fernandes. Joquina Vaz.	3125
Total .....		3125

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Smt. A. Menezes, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 9th October, 1998.

## Notification

No. 22/78/98-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. for construction of road at Murda village in V.P. Mercas, Tiswadi Taluka.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act I of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector

appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Deputy Collector (LA), Collectorate of North Goa, Panaji to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji.
2. The Dy. Collector (LA), Collectorate of North Goa, Panaji.
3. Block Development Officer, Tiswadi, Panaji.
4. The Director of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Deputy Collector (LA), Collectorate of North Goa, Panaji for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

## SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Tiswadi		Village: Murda
Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
11/4 part	O: Shri Roque Martins. Shri Anthony Martins.	180
Boundaries:		
North: S. No. 11/4.		
South: S. No. 11/7.		
East: S. No. 11/4.		
West: S. No. 11/4.		
Total .....		180

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Smt. A. Menezes, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 12th October, 1998.